#644

Please select your course and name from the drop-down menu. If your course or name are incorrect or missing, contact Sara Wade, the Instructional Services Administrative Assistant, 541-506-6037 or swade@cgcc.edu.

EC 202- Principles of Economics: Macroeconomics- David Wagenblast- Part B- Spring 2024

* Part B: Your Results DIRECTIONS 1. Report the outcome achievement data gathered via the assignments, tests, etc. you identified for each outcome (question 3) of your Part A. (Only include data for students who completed the course. Do not include students who withdrew or earned an incomplete) Data for all 3 outcomes should be reported below.

For each outcome described, 14/18 or 78% of students scored 80% or higher from the weekly homework assignments, weekly quizzes, two midterm exams, one final exam and entrance/exit essays. Goal was to have 50% of students score 80% or higher.

* Outcome #1

Think critically and formulate independent and well-considered conclusions about economic issues and policies

* % of students who successfully achieved the outcome (C or above)

78%

* Outcome #2

Understand different paradigmatic perspectives regarding the stability or instability of the macroeconomy.

* % of students who successfully achieved the outcome (C or above)

78%

* Outcome #3

Awareness of the different public policy options for addressing macroeconomic issues and problems.

* % of students who successfully achieved the outcome (C or above)

78%

* ANALYSIS 3. What contributed to student success and/or lack of success?

This was a full online course with printed material, zoom lectures (not required to attend, but recorded), self-made videos about important concepts, and links to other resources. Students who succeeded completed the necessary work by reading weekly assignments, asking questions (to me or in classroom discussion board), accessing Zoom recordings and videos, and participating and following directions in weekly discussions about chapter concepts. One contributing factor for lack of success was due mainly not showing up for assignments.

* 4. Helping students to realistically self-assess and reflect on their understanding and progress encourages students to take responsibility for their own learning. Please compare your students' perception of their end-of-term understanding/mastery of the three outcomes (found in student evaluations) to your assessment (above) of student achievement of the three outcomes.

At the beginning of the term, one of the first assignments is to write an entrance essay to express their expectations to the outcomes listed in the syllabus and how they would accomplish those expectations. At the end of term, they submit an exit essay to evaluate their own understanding relative to their entrance essay. Exit essay perceptions were mostly positive about increasing their understanding of macroeconomic concepts. Several offered suggestions on how to improve, but most would not change the course layout.

* 5. Did student achievement of outcomes meet your expectations for successfully teaching to each outcome (question 4 from Part A)

I would say yes, for the most part.

* 6. Based on your analysis in the questions above, what course adjustments are warranted (curricular, pedagogical, student instruction, etc.)?

At this point, based on the exit essay feedback and student evaluations, I would not adjust too much. I would provide more applicable real life examples to theory.

7. What resources would be required to implement your recommended course adjustments (materials, training, equipment, etc.)? What Budget implications result?

None.

* 8. Describe the results of any adjustments you made from the last assessment of this course (if applicable) and their effectiveness in student achievement of outcomes.

Changes in the national economy provided more current events worth talking about.

9. Describe how you explain information about course outcomes and their relevance to your students.

Each weekly assignment summary connects chapter goals with course outcomes in syllabus.

10. Please describe any changes/additions to instruction, curriculum or assessment that you made to support students in better achieving the CGCC Institutional Learning Outcomes: ILO #1: Communication. The areas that faculty are focusing on are: "Content Development"and/or Control of Syntax and Mechanics" and ILO #2: Critical Thinking/Problem Solving. The areas that faculty are focusing on are: "Evidence" (Critical Thinking) and/or "Identify Strategies" (Problem Solving). ILO #4: Cultural Awareness. The area that faculty is focusing on is: "Openness" (Encouraging our students to "Initiate and develop interactions with culturally different others") ILO #5: Community and Environmental Responsibility. The area that faculty are focusing on are: "Applying Knowledge to Contemporary Contexts" and "Understanding Global Systems" ILO#3 - Quantitative Literacy - "Application/Analysis" and/or "Assumptions"

Used real life examples to motivate students to provide a reasonable analysis of theory in support or non-support of written media articles. Used current event articles for homework questions in applying theory and thought process. This included students to define the situation and provide a trend expectation of unemployment, price level, and real output from macroeconomic shifts. Exploration of different market structures sometimes involved global interactions. World events from provide real-life "lab experiences" in applying theory and expectations.